OPEN MEETING



OFIGINAL

$\underline{\mathbf{M}}\;\underline{\mathbf{E}}\;\underline{\mathbf{M}}\;\underline{\mathbf{O}}\;\underline{\mathbf{R}}\;\underline{\mathbf{A}}\;\underline{\mathbf{N}}\;\underline{\mathbf{D}}\;\underline{\mathbf{U}}\;\underline{\mathbf{M}}$

RECEIVED

Arizona Corporation Commission DOCKETED

DEC - 5 2006

2006 DEC -5 P 12: 48

TO:

THE COMMISSION

FROM:

Utilities Division

AZ CORP COMMISSION DOCUMENT CONTROL

December 5, 2006

DATE:

IN THE MATTER OF LAS QUINTAS SERENAS WATER COMPANY'S RE:

DOCKETED BY

COMPLIANCE FILING AND REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF PROPOSED SURCHARGE (DOCKET NOS. W-01583A-04-0178, W-01583A-05-0326 AND

W-01583A-05-0340)

Introduction

On November 7, 2006, pursuant to Decision No. 68718, Las Quintas Serenas Water Company ("Las Quintas" or "Company") filed a request with the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") for approval of an arsenic removal surcharge as shown on Table A. Las Quintas is a certificated Class C utility that provides water service to approximately 900 customers in a portion of southern Pima County, Arizona.

Background

On January 23, 2001, the United States Environmental Protection Agency reduced the drinking water standard for arsenic from 50 parts per billion ("ppb") to 10 ppb. All community water systems and non-transient non-community water systems needed to comply with the new federal rule by January 23, 2006.

On June 1, 2006, in Decision No. 68718, Las Quintas was authorized financing approval and an arsenic remedial surcharge mechanism ("ARSM"). Decision No. 68718 directed the Company to make an arsenic surcharge recovery filing within 15 days of the loan closing. Implementation of the ARSM would enable the Company to meet its principal and interest obligations on the actual amount of the loan and pay income taxes on the surcharges.

Staff Adjustments

Decision No. 68718 stated that,

¹ The Decision uses the term "arsenic recovery mechanism" or "ACRM" instead of "arsenic remedial surcharge mechanism" or "ARSM." This memorandum uses the latter term hereafter.

"...LQS be required to calculate its proposed surcharge tariff <u>using</u>... <u>the same methodology that Staff used</u> to determine the estimated surcharge amount..." (emphasis added).

Staff's methodology allowed only the principal and interest on the authorized loan amount and the related income taxes to be recovered through the ARSM. In addition to those authorized costs, the Company's proposed surcharge includes \$38,983 for an annual Water Infrastructure Finance Authority Debt Reserve payment and the incremental income taxes thereon. Since a provision for these additional items was not authorized in Decision No. 68718, Staff removed the \$38,983 from the surcharge revenue requirement used to calculate the arsenic remedial surcharge.

Staff also determined that the Company improperly calculated the gross revenue conversion factor used in the ARSM. The Company's calculation does not include a gross-up for income taxes on the surcharge revenues to cover principal on the arsenic loan. This omission understates the Company's gross revenue conversion factor, and consequently, the surcharge revenue requirement. Staff corrected this error by using the 1.4120 gross revenue conversion factor reflected in Staff's ARSM testimony that was the basis of the ARSM adopted by the Commission.

Staff recommends approval of Staff's recommended arsenic surcharges as shown on Table A.

Staff further recommends that the Company file a tariff consistent with Table A explaining the terms and conditions of the arsenic remedial surcharge within 30 days of the effective date of the decision resulting from this proceeding.

Staff further recommends that Las Quintas notify its customers of the Arsenic Remedial Surcharge tariff within 30 days of the effective date of the decision resulting from this proceeding.

Ernest G. Johnson

Director

Utilities Division

EGJ:CSB:lhm\JMA

Originator: Crystal Brown

TABLE AArsenic Remedial Monthly Surcharge Per Meter

	Company <u>Proposed</u>	Staff Recommended
5/8 Inch x ¾ Inch Meter	\$ 13.59	\$ 11.37
3/4 Inch Meter	\$ 20.39	\$ 17.05
1 Inch Meter	\$ 33.98	\$ 28.42
1 ½ Meter	\$ 67.96	\$ 56.84
2 Inch Meter	\$108.74	\$ 90.94
3 Inch Meter	None Proposed	\$170.52
4 Inch Meter	\$339.82	\$284.20
6 Inch Meter	None Proposed	\$568.40
Standpipe	\$ 13.59	\$ 11.37

1 BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 2 JEFF HATCH-MILLER Chairman 3 WILLIAM A. MUNDELL Commissioner 4 MIKE GLEASON Commissioner 5 KRISTIN K. MAYES Commissioner **BARRY WONG** 6 Commissioner 7 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION 8 DOCKET NO. W-01583A-04-0178 OF LAS QUINTAS SERENAS WATER 9 COMPANY FOR A RATE INCREASE 10 DOCKET NO. W-01583A-05-0326 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION 11 OF LAS QUINTAS SERENAS WATER COMPANY FOR AUTHORITY TO INCUR 12 LONG-TERM INDEBTEDNESS TO 13 FINANCE WATER SYSTEM IMPROVEMENTS AND ASSURE 14 COMPLIANCE WITH NEW ARSENIC **RULES** 15 16 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION DOCKET NO. W-01583A-05-0340 17 OF LAS QUINTAS SERENAS WATER COMPANY FOR AN OPINION AND 18 ORDER TO (i) RE-OPEN THE RECORD IN A RECENT RATE CASE SO AS TO 19 CONSIDER EVIDENCE IN SUPPORT OF DECISION NO. ____ 20 AN ARSENIC COST RECOVERY **ORDER** MECHANISM, AND (ii) MODIFY RATE 21 CASE DECISION IN ORDER TO ADD AN **COMPLIANCE FILING AND** ARSENIC COST RECOVERY REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF 22 MECHANISM AS AN AUTHORIZED RATE PROPOSED SURCHARGE AND CHARGE 23 24 Open Meeting December 19 and 20, 2006 25 Phoenix, Arizona 26 BY THE COMMISSION: 27 28

Introduction 1

Pursuant to Decision No. 68658, dated November 7, 2006, Las Quintas Serenas Water Company ("Las Quintas" or "Company") filed a request with the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") for approval of an arsenic removal surcharge. The Company proposes monthly surcharges that vary by meter size. The Company's proposed surcharges would increase the average residential customer's bill (5/8-inch x 3/4-inch meter) by approximately \$13.59 (or 58.86 percent) from \$23.20 to \$36.79. Staff recommends surcharges that conform with the Arsenic Remedial Surcharge Mechanism ("ARSM")¹ authorized by Decision No. 68718.² Staff's recommended surcharges would increase the average residential customer's bill by approximately \$11.37 (or 49.01 percent) from \$23.20 to \$34.57.

Background

On January 23, 2001, the United States Environmental Protection Agency reduced the drinking water standard for arsenic from 50 parts per billion ("ppb") to 10 ppb. All community water systems and non-transient non-community water systems needed to comply with the new federal rule by January 23, 2006.

On June 1, 2006, in Decision No. 68718, Las Quintas was authorized financing approval and an ARSM. Decision No. 68718 directed the Company to make an arsenic surcharge recovery filing within 15 days of the loan closing. Implementation of the ASRM would enable the Company to meet its principal and interest obligations on the actual amount of the loan and pay income taxes on the surcharges.

Staff Adjustments

Staff recommends two adjustments to the Company's ARSM calculations. Staff noted that Decision No. 68718 stated that,

² Dated June 1, 2006.



¹ Decision No. 68718 uses the term "arsenic recovery mechanism" or "ACRM" instead of "arsenic remedial surcharge mechanism" or "ARSM." The latter term in use herein.

28 .

"... LQS be required to calculate its proposed surcharge tariff <u>using</u>... <u>the same methodology that Staff used</u> to determine the estimated surcharge amount..." (emphasis added).

Staff's methodology allowed only the principal and interest on the authorized loan amount and the related income taxes to be recovered through the ASRM. Staff noted that in addition to those authorized costs, the Company's proposed surcharge includes \$38,983 for the annual Water Infrastructure Financing Authority ("WIFA") Debt Reserve payment and incremental income taxes thereon. Since a provision for these additional items was not authorized in Decision No. 68718, Staff removed the \$38,983 from the surcharge revenue requirement to calculate the arsenic remedial surcharge.

Staff also determined that the Company improperly calculated the gross revenue conversion factor used in the ARSM. According to Staff, the Company's calculation does not include a gross-up for income taxes on the surcharge revenues to cover principal on the arsenic loan. This omission understates the Company's gross revenue conversion factor, and consequently, the surcharge revenue requirement. Staff corrected this error by using the 1.4120 gross revenue conversion factor reflected in Staff's ARSM testimony that was the basis of the ARSM adopted by the Commission.

Staff recommends approval of Staff's recommended arsenic surcharges as shown on Table A.

Staff further recommends that the Company file a tariff consistent with Table A explaining the terms and conditions of the arsenic remedial surcharge within 30 days of the effective date of the decision resulting from this proceeding.

Staff further recommends that Las Quintas notify its customers of the Arsenic Remedial Surcharge tariff within 30 days of the effective date of the decision resulting from this proceeding.

We find that Staff's adjustments and its recommendations are appropriate and should be adopted.

Decision No.

1.

2.

3.

4.

1.

2.

3.

4.

application.

Commission finds, concludes, and orders that:

10 ppb which became effective January 23, 2006.

1

2 3

4

5 6

7

8

10

9

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Decision No. _____

Decision No. _____

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Company shall docket a tariff consistent the arsenic 1 2 remedial surcharge approved herein explaining the terms and conditions of the arsenic remedial 3 surcharge within 30 days of the effective date of this decision. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Las Quintas shall notify its customers in a form 4 acceptable to Staff, of the arsenic remedial surcharge tariff approved herein within 30 days of the 5 effective date of this Decision. 6 7 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Decision shall become effective immediately. 8 BY THE ORDER OF THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 9 10 11 CHAIRMAN COMMISSIONER 12 13 14 COMMISSIONER **COMMISSIONER COMMISSIONER** 15 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I BRIAN C. McNEIL, Executive 16 Director of the Arizona Corporation Commission, have hereunto, set my hand and caused the official seal of this 17 Commission to be affixed at the Capitol, in the City of Phoenix, this ______, 2006. 18 19 20 21 BRIAN C. McNEIL **Executive Director** 22 23 DISSENT: 24 25 DISSENT: 26 EGJ:CSB:lhm\JMA 27 28

Decision No.

SERVICE LIST FOR: Las Quintas Serenas Water Company 1 DOCKET NO. W-01583A-04-0178, et al. 2 Mr. Lawrence V. Robertson, Jr. 3 Attorneys for Las Quintas Serenas Water Company Post Office Box 1448 4 Tubac, Arizona 85646 5 Mr. John Gay 6 1241 West Calle De La Plaza Sahuarita, Arizona 85629 7 Las Quintas Serenas Water Company 8 Post Office Box 68 Sahuarita, Arizona 85629 9 10 Mr. Ernest G. Johnson Director, Utilities Division 11 Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington 12 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 13 Mr. Christopher C. Kempley 14 Chief Counsel Arizona Corporation Commission 15 1200 West Washington Phoenix, Arizona 85007 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

TABLE A

Arsenic Remedial Monthly Surcharge Per Meter

5/8 Inch x 3/4 Inch Meter \$ 6.78

3/4 Inch Meter \$ 10.17

1 Inch Meter \$ 16.95

1 ½ Meter \$ 33.90

2 Inch Meter \$ 54.24

3 Inch Meter \$101.70

4 Inch Meter \$169.50

6 Inch Meter \$339.00

Decision No.